


# PAUL RAND ON FORM & CONTENT



In this booklet you will take a look at Paul Rand's writings about form and content combined and supported with graphics designed by Rand.




To understand the meaning of design is to sense the common thread that weaves its way through the arts of painting, architecture, and industrial and graphic design. It is also to understand the part form and content play in the intricate process of design,

and to realize as well that design is also commentary, opinion, a point of view, and social responsibility. To design is much more than simply to assemble, to order, or even to edit; it is to add value and meaning, to illuminate, to simplify, to clarify, to modify,

to dignify, to dramatize, to persuade, and perhaps even to amuse. To design is to transform prose into poetry. Design broadens perception, magnifies experience, and enhances vision. Design is the product of feeling and awareness, of ideas that originate in the

mind of the designer and culminate, one hopes, in the mind of the spectator. Design, as we shall see, is also an instrument of disorder and confusion. Design for deception is often more persuasive than design for good; seduction is one of its many masks.




Design is both a verb and a noun. It is the beginning as well as the end, the process and product of imagination. Like a huge onion with multiple layers, the more it is peeled, the more it reveals. Content is the raw material of design. Form, in turn, is the reorganization and manipulation of content. To form is to fix visual relationships in a given space, hence form and plastic are also syno-

nym for design. Design is the fusion of form and content, the realization and unique expression of an idea. Design entails a part-whole relationship expressed in size, shape, color, value, texture, and weight. These are the means; unity, harmony, grace, and rhythm are desirable ends. (This list of means and ends has been cited so often that it has lost almost all meaning. However, these very

considerations are what ultimately distinguish art from non-art, good design from bad design. Furthermore, perfection in design depends on the integration of all ingredients. Since such ingredients are inexhaustible, perfection is really unattainable.) Implicit in all this are inventiveness, intuition, judgment, and experience. There is no formula for good design; each problem is unique, as is each solution. Furthermore, the world of design is not utopian; solutions are often willful, arbitrary, or the product of endless compromise.







It is true that while aesthetic judgments are largely intuitive, it is the abstract (or formal) aspect of a work that takes precedence over other considerations. Yet when form (the abstract) not only predominates but is also allowed to overshadow the content of a work, information goes astray, and the conflict between form and content remains unresolved. To see the abstract in the concrete and the concrete in the abstract is the essence of art making and appreciation.






The difference between fine and applied or popular art is not a difference of genre but a difference between two kinds of formal qualities, between good and bad, sensitive or insensitive to visual relationships - rhythm, contrast, proportion - and how these qualities, for example, separate Rembrandt from Norman Rockwell. In Rembrandt one is awed by formal invention, in Rockwell one is awed by

manual dexterity. Considering such questions, one must be sensitive to the elusive qualities that so often affect one's perceptions when, for example, symbols are understood as the real thing, vagueness may be seen as subtlety, mawkishness as genuine feeling, faddishness as originality, and popularity as substance. Regrettably, the expression applied and popular have a pejorative ring - the very

words seem prejudicial, implying something inferior. The so-called primitive art of Africa or Mexico, for example, easily refutes this notion. That folk art is an art of and for the people does not in any way diminish whatever aesthetic value it may possess.





Everything possesses form of some kind, good or bad, pleasing or not: even decoration is a kind of form that has lost its way. There is no such thing as formlessness. Form and content are interactive: they are mutually dependent. Insofar as form manipulates


content, content determines the nature of its manipulation. For constructivists or deconstructivists, no less than for conservatives or avant-gardists, there is good form and there is bad form. One cannot speak of form without implying value. Form may intensify,

obfuscate, or even change meaning; it is never in a vacuum. Content, on the other hand, can never hide behind form; the more it tries the more it reveals itself as the absence of substance.

Design (aesthetic) judgments are based on two kinds of values, one symbolic or associative (extrinsic), the other formal (intrinsic). Symbolic values are those most of us use but often confuse with formal ones. These values are largely subjective and have little or nothing to do with design or art per se. Judgments are most often based on habit, hearsay, opinion, special meaning, prejudice, misun-

derstanding, conditioned learning - on social, psychological, political, financial, or even religious considerations. (To visually unsophisticated pious person, for example, a bad copy of da Vinci's Last Supper seems no different from the original painting. His concern is piety, not art.) Intrinsic values involve aesthetics, the design itself (what it looks like, its visual quality), but not what it repre-

sents. Extrinsic judgments relate to content and meaning, intrinsic to beauty, which is more difficult to fathom because talent, expertise, taste sensitivity, experience, and visual acuity must come into play. (What is meant by beauty here has nothing to do with fidelity to nature, beautiful nudes, or dazzling scenery; rather it has to do with fidelity to form (aesthetics)).






In painting an sculpture, as well as in architecture and design, problems of form have always been the same. Proportion is proportion; order, order. The effects of color are not dependent on medium, nor are the effects of scale, economy of means, harmony, rhythm, or even subject matter. Form is without bias. It is not based on time, place, nationality, school, or style. Picasso, speaking of Cubism, put it this way: »It is no different from any other school of painting; the same principles and the same elements are common to all.«

To understand the significance of form is to understand the similarities and differences among Masaccio, Rembrandt, Mondrian on one hand, and Norman Rockwell on the other. It is also to understand the difference between the poetic and the prosaic, as well

as the difference between a well-designed advertisement or machine and a badly designed advertisement or machine. In graphic design, formal relationships fix the appearance of things, while in industrial design they also help elucidate or camouflage their

function. Garry Wills, speaking of Lincoln's mastery of form in the Gettysburg Address, tells us how Lincoln „sensed from his own developed artistry, the demands that bring forth classic art - compression, grasp of the essential, balance, ideality.“ The prin-

ciples of form are universal and immutable. Emphasis on form, however, does not in any way diminish the role of substance, skill, emotional content, world view, or appropriateness. Form merely provides the spark without which content languishes.





There is nothing esoteric about art, but the language of art is not the language about art; one is visual, the other verbal. The ultimate question, But is it art? reveals not the power but the impotence of words and limitations of reason. In art there are

preceptions, opinions, speculations, and interpretation, but not proof. This is both its mystery and its magic. All this seems to imply that good design is superfluous. Design, good or bad, is a vehicle of memory. Good design adds value of some kind, gives

meaning, and, not incidentally, can be sheer pleasure to behold; it respects the viewer's sensibilities and rewards the entrepreneur. It is easier to remember a well-designed image than one that is muddled. A well-designed logo, in the end, is a reflection of the

business it symbolizes. It connotes a thoughtful and purposeful enterprise and mirrors the quality of its products or services. It is good public relations - a harbinger of goodwill. It says, »We care.«

MKG Hamburg, 20099 Hamburg, Steintorplatz,  
Wintersemester 2019/20, Konzeption und Entwurf  
Pablo Esteban-Dettmar, Druck und Bindung Pablo  
Esteban-Dettmar, entstanden in einer Kooperation  
mit der Muthesius-Kunsthochschule Kiel

All graphics and text belong to Paul Rand and can  
be found in his books »Paul Rand, Design, Form and  
Chaos« and »Paul Rand, Spin and Sparkle«.



